

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**General Information About This Document ..... G-1**

**Executive Summary ..... ES-1**

Alternatives ..... ES-2

Executive Summary ..... ES-5

Other Current Projects ..... ES-34

History of Project ..... ES-34

**1.0 Proposed Project**

1.1 Project Background ..... 1.0-1

1.2 Project Purpose ..... 1.0-2

1.3 Project Need ..... 1.0-5

1.4 Alternatives ..... 1.0-13

1.5 Permits and Approvals Needed ..... 1.0-24

**2.0 Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences, and Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures**

2.0.1 CEQA and NEPA Requirements ..... 2.0-1

2.1 Human Environment ..... 2.1-1

2.1.1 Land Use ..... 2.1-1

2.1.2 Growth Inducement ..... 2.1-17

2.1.3 Community Impacts (Social, Economic) and Environmental Justice ..... 2.1-23

2.1.4 Utilities and Emergency Services ..... 2.1-44

2.1.5 Traffic and Transportation/Pedestrian and Bicycle System ..... 2.1-48

2.1-6 Cultural and Historic Resources ..... 2.1-86

2.2 Physical Environment ..... 2.2-1

2.2.1 Hydrology and Floodplain ..... 2.2-1

2.2.2 Water Quality and Stormwater Run-Off ..... 2.2-20

2.2.3 Geological and Soil Resources ..... 2.2-25

2.2.4 Hazardous Waste/Materials ..... 2.2-34

2.2.5 Air Quality ..... 2.2-53

2.2.6 Noise ..... 2.2-66

2.3 Biological Environment ..... 2.3-1

2.3.1 Natural Communities ..... 2.3-1

2.3.2 Wetlands and Other Waters of The United States ..... 2.3-30

2.3.3 Special Status Plant Species ..... 2.3-34

2.3.4 Special Status Animal Species Occurrences ..... 2.3-38

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

---

2.3.5 Threatened and Endangered Species ..... 2.3-49

2.3.6 Invasive Species..... 2.3-75

**3.0 Cumulative Impacts**

3.0 Cumulative Impacts ..... 3.0-1

**4.0 Comments and Coordination**

4.0 Comments and Coordination ..... 4.0-1

Exhibit A Public Comments for the State Route 99/Sheldon Road Interchange  
Improvements Project Draft EIR Public Hearing, April 25, 2002

Exhibit B State Historic Preservation Officer Letter of Concurrence for the Sheldon  
Road/ State Route 99 Interchange Improvements Project

**5.0 Report Preparers and References**

5.1 Preparers of the Environmental Impact Report/EA ..... 5.0-1

5.2 References..... 5.0-2

**6.0 List of Technical Studies that are Bound Separately**

6.0 List of Technical Studies that are Bound Separately ..... 6.0-1

**APPENDICES**

- A: Notice of Preparation and Initial Study
- B: Title VI Policy Statement
- C: Summary of Relocation Benefits
- D: Glossary of Technical Terms
- E: List of Acronyms
- F: Mitigation Summary
- G: List of Projects Within the City of Elk Grove
- H: Elk Grove Community Services District Letter

TABLES

ES-1 ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS IN THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLAN .....ES-2

ES-2 SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES .....ES-5

ES-3 PROJECT TIMEFRAME.....ES-34

ES-4 INTERESTED PARTIES AND PROJECT SITE JURISDICTION .....ES-35

1.3-1 CONCEPT LOS FOR STUDY FACILITIES ..... 1.0-6

1.3-2 FREEWAY MAINLINE LOS – EXISTING CONDITIONS ..... 1.0-7

1.3-3 EXISTING FREEWAY RAMP JUNCTION LEVELS OF SERVICE..... 1.0-8

1.3-4 EXISTING SR 99 / SHELDON ROAD INTERCHANGE LEVEL OF SERVICE AND DELAY 1.0-9

1.3-5 2025 FREEWAY RAMP JUNCTION LEVELS OF SERVICE WITHOUT PROJECT ..... 1.0-10

1.3-6 2025 INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE WITHOUT PROJECT ..... 1.0-10

1.3-7 SR 99 / SHELDON ROAD INTERCHANGE ACCIDENT HISTORY – APRIL 1, 1999 TO  
MARCH 31, 2002 ..... 1.0-12

2.1.1-1 SURROUNDING LAND USES ..... 2.1-13

2.1.2-1 ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS IN MTP ..... 2.1-20

2.1.3-1 POPULATION PROJECTIONS FOR THE CITY OF ELK GROVE ..... 2.1-26

2.1.3-2 POPULATION PROJECTIONS FOR SACRAMENTO COUNTY ..... 2.1-27

2.1.3-3 HOUSEHOLD PROJECTIONS FOR THE CITY OF ELK GROVE ..... 2.1-27

2.1.3-4 ESTIMATED HOUSING STOCK PERCENTAGE FOR SACRAMENTO COUNTY ..... 2.1-27

2.1.3-5 CITY OF ELK GROVE JOB PROJECTIONS ..... 2.1-28

2.1.3-6 ESTIMATED RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITIONS ..... 2.1-29

2.1.3-7 RACE-TOTAL POPULATION, CENSUS TRACTS 93.22, 93.23, 96.08, AND 96.13..... 2.1-32

2.1.3-8 2004 HHS POVERTY GUIDELINES ..... 2.1-37

2.1.3-9 MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME IN 1999 (DOLLARS) ..... 2.1-38

2.1.3-10 AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD SIZE BY CENSUS TRACT ..... 2.1-38

2.1.3-11 AVERAGE FAMILY SIZE BY CENSUS TRACT ..... 2.1-38

2.1.3-12 HOUSING UNITS BY CENSUS TRACT..... 2.1-39

2.1.3-13 HOUSEHOLD INCOME IN 1999 BY CENSUS TRACT ..... 2.1-39

2.1.5-1 FREEWAY SEGMENT LOS CRITERIA ..... 2.1-50

2.1.5-2 RAMP JUNCTION LOS CRITERIA ..... 2.1-50

2.1.5-3 SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION LOS CRITERIA ..... 2.1-51

2.1.5-4 UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTION LOS CRITERIA ..... 2.1-51

2.1.5-5 FREEWAY MAINLINE LOS – EXISTING CONDITIONS ..... 2.1-53

2.1.5-6 FREEWAY RAMP JUNCTION LOS – EXISTING CONDITIONS..... 2.1-53

2.1.5-7 INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE AND DELAY – EXISTING CONDITIONS..... 2.1-54

2.1.5-8 FREEWAY MAINLINE LOS – CONSTRUCTION YEAR (2005) CONDITIONS ..... 2.1-58

2.1.5-9 FREEWAY RAMP JUNCTION LOS – CONSTRUCTION YEAR (2005) CONDITIONS .... 2.1-58

2.1.5-10 INTERSECTION LOS – CONSTRUCTION YEAR (2005) CONDITIONS ..... 2.1-61

2.1.5-11 RAMP METERING OPERATIONS – CONSTRUCTION YEAR (2005) CONDITIONS ..... 2.1-67

2.1.5-12 FREEWAY MAINLINE LOS – DESIGN YEAR (2025) CONDITIONS..... 2.1-72

2.1.5-13 FREEWAY RAMP JUNCTION LOS – DESIGN YEAR (2025) CONDITIONS ..... 2.1-73

2.1.5-14 INTERSECTION LOS – DESIGN YEAR (2025) CONDITIONS..... 2.1-74

2.1.5-15 RAMP METERING OPERATIONS – DESIGN YEAR (2025) CONDITIONS..... 2.1-76

2.2.1-1 HYDROLOGIC STUDY AREA OF THE LOWER LAGUNA CREEK DRAINAGE MASTER PLAN  
AND STUDY AREA FOR THE SHELDON ROAD / SR 99 INTERCHANGE..... 2.2-4

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

2.2.1-2	SUMMARY OF FOUR POTENTIAL DRAINAGE CONFIGURATIONS .....	2.2-19
2.2.5-1	FEDERAL AND STATE AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS.....	2.2-54
2.2.5-2	ATTAINMENT STATUS OF SACRAMENTO METROPOLITAN AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT (SMAQMD) .....	2.2-55
2.2.5-3	PROJECTED 1-HOUR AND 8-HOUR WORST CASE CARBON MONOXIDE CONCENTRATIONS AT WORST-CASE SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS, IN PARTS PER MILLION INTERSECTIONS COULD BE SUBSTANTIALLY LOWER .....	2.2-60
2.2.5-4	PM10 AIR QUALITY DATA FOR THE NEAREST MONITORING SITES .....	2.2-61
2.2.6-1	FEDERAL NOISE CRITERIA [HOURLY A-WEIGHTED SOUND LEVEL – DECIBELS (DBA)].....	2.2-66
2.2.6-2	CITY OF ELK GROVE ELEMENT INTERIOR NOISE STANDARDS .....	2.2-68
2.2.6-3	CITY OF SACRAMENTO NOISE ELEMENT NOISE STANDARDS .....	2.2-68
2.2.6-4	EXISTING LAND USES IN SR 99 / SHELDON ROAD VICINITY .....	2.2-70
2.2.6-5	EXISTING AND PREDICTED NOISE LEVELS .....	2.2-73
2.2.6-6	ANALYSIS OF NOISE BARRIERS .....	2.2-75
2.2.6-7	PREDICTED NOISE LEVELS AND NOISE BARRIER INSERTION LOSS SR 99/ SHELDON ROAD INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENT PROJECT ALTERNATIVE 2A .....	2.2-77
2.2.6-8	PREDICTED NOISE LEVELS AND NOISE BARRIER INSERTION LOSS SR 99/ SHELDON ROAD INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENT PROJECT ALTERNATIVE 3A .....	2.2-83
2.2.6-9	REASONABLENESS OF FEASIBLE NOISE BARRIERS .....	2.2-81
2.3-1	SUMMARY OF IMPACTS TO VERNAL POOLS.....	2.3-17
2.3-2	SUMMARY OF IMPACTS TO WHITEHOUSE CREEK .....	2.3-19
2.3-3	SUMMARY OF TREE RESOURCES WITHIN PROJECT AREA .....	2.3-22
2.3.4-1	SUMMARY OF IMPACTS TO CALIFORNIA LINDERIELLA HABITAT .....	2.3-39
2.3.4-7	SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL FORAGING HABITAT FOR FERRUGINOUS HAWKS .....	2.3-42
2.3.5-1	REGIONAL SPECIAL-STATUS SPECIES AND HABITATS OF CONCERN .....	2.3-51
2.3.5-2	FEDERAL OR STATE THREATENED OR ENDANGERED SPECIES WITH THE POTENTIAL TO OCCUR WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA .....	2.3-59
2.3.5-3	SUMMARY OF IMPACTS TO VERNAL POOL FAIRY SHRIMP HABITAT .....	2.3-66
2.3.5-4	SUMMARY OF IMPACTS TO VERNAL POOL TADPOLE SHRIMP HABITAT .....	2.3-67
2.3.5-5	VALLEY ELDERBERRY LONGHORN BEETLE HABITAT SURVEY RESULTS.....	2.3-68
2.3.5-6	VALLEY ELDERBERRY LONGHORN BEETLE MITIGATION SUMMARY .....	2.3-69
2.3.5-9	SUMMARY OF IMPACTS TO POTENTIAL FORAGING HABITAT FOR SWAINSON’S HAWK .....	2.3-71
2.3.5-10	SUMMARY OF MITIGATION FOR IMPACTS TO FORAGING HABITAT FOR SWAINSON’S HAWK .....	2.3-73
3.0-1	LAND USES AND PLANNED DEVELOPMENTS .....	3.0-2

**FIGURES**

1.1-1 Project Location Map..... 1.0-3

1.4-1 Alternative 2A..... 1.0-17

1.4-2 Alternative 3A..... 1.0-19

2.1.1-1a Zoning Designations in the City of Elk Grove ..... 2.1-3

2.1.1-1b Zoning Designations in the City of Sacramento ..... 2.1-5

2.1.1-2a Existing Land Uses in the City of Elk Grove ..... 2.1-7

2.1.1-2b Existing Land Uses in the City of Sacramento ..... 2.1-9

2.1.1-3 Existing Land Uses ..... 2.1-12

2.1.3-1 Census Tract Map of Project Area..... 2.1-34

2.1.3-2 Demographics of CT 93.22..... 2.1-35

2.1.3-3 Demographics of CT 93.23..... 2.1-36

2.1.3-4 Demographics of CT 96.08..... 2.1-36

2.1.3-5 Demographics of CT 96.13..... 2.1-37

2.1.3-6 Total Households in Poverty in Census Tracts 96.09, 96.13, and 93.23 ..... 2.1-40

2.1.3-7 Percentage of Low-Income Households in CT 93.22..... 2.1-40

2.1.3-8 Percentage of Low-Income Households in CT 93.23..... 2.1-41

2.1.3-9 Percentage of Low-Income Households in CT 96.08..... 2.1-41

2.1.3-10 Percentage of Low-Income Households in CT 96.13..... 2.1-42

2.1.5-1 Project Study Area ..... 2.1-55

2.1.5-2 Peak Hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Existing  
Conditions ..... 2.1-59

2.1.5-3 Peak Hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations - Year (2005) With Project  
Alternative 2A Conditions ..... 2.1-63

2.1.5-4 Peak Hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations - Year (2005) With Project  
Alternative 3A Conditions ..... 2.1-65

2.1.5-5 Peak Hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Cumulative (2025) No Project  
Conditions ..... 2.1-77

2.1.5-6 Peak Hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Year (2025) With Project  
Alternative 2A Conditions ..... 2.1-79

2.1.5-7 Peak Hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Year (2025) With Project  
Alternative 3A Conditions ..... 2.1-81

2.2.1-1 Lower Laguna Creek Watershed ..... 2.2-5

2.2.1-2a Revised FIRM Map..... 2.2-11

2.2.1-2b Revised FIRM Map..... 2.2-13

2.2.1-3 Lower Laguna Creek Drainage Master Plan..... 2.2-15

2.2.3-1 Soils Within Project Area ..... 2.2-29

2.2.4-1 Sitemap of Potential Hazardous Locations ..... 2.2-39

2.2.4-2 Site Photos ..... 2.2-41

2.2.4-3 Site Photos (Continued) ..... 2.2-43

2.2.6-1 Existing Interchange Configuration..... 2.2-69

2.2.6-2 Location of Proposed Noise Barriers, Alternative 2A ..... 2.2-78

2.2.6-3 Location of Proposed Noise Barriers, Alternative 3A ..... 2.2-79

2.3.1-1 Biological Resources Within Project Area ..... 2.3-7

2.3.1-2 Alternative 2A With East Stockton Blvd. Option 1 Impacts ..... 2.3-13

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

---

2.3.1-3 Alternative 3A with East Stockton Blvd. Option 1 and West Stockton Blvd. Option 1  
Impacts ..... 2.3-15

2.3.5-1 Location of Special-Status Species Occurrences in the Project Vicinity ..... 2.3-61